1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15	FORTUNE GROWERS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	Case No.: 07CV2088-JAH
16 17	Plaintiff,	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
18	vs.	ORDER
19	SOUTHERN CITRUS, INC., a	
20	California corporation; HANA GIBO,	
21	an individual; and SABAH YOUKHANNA, an individual,	
22		
23	Defendants.	
24	This matter is before the Court	upon Plaintiff's Ex-Parte Motion for
25	Temporary Restraining Order pursuant to Rule 65(b) of the Federal Rules of	
26		
27	Civil Procedure. Pursuant to Rule 65(b), a Temporary Restraining Order may be	
28		
	Temporary Restraining Order	Page 1 of 5

Case 3:07-cv-02088-JAH-CAB Document 6 Filed 10/31/2007 Page 1 of 5

granted without notice to the adverse party only if: (1) it clearly appears from specific facts shown by Declaration or verified complaint that immediate and irreparable injury, loss or damage will result before the adverse party can be heard in opposition, and (2) the applicant's attorney certifies the reasons that notice should not be required.

In this case, it clearly appears from the Affidavit of Luis Solarte that Plaintiff, Fortune Growers, LLC, is a produce dealer and trust creditor of Defendant, Southern Citrus, Inc. ("Southern Citrus"), under Section 5(c) of the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act ("PACA"), 7 U.S.C. §499e(c), and has not been paid for produce in the total amount of \$115,266.40 supplied to said Defendant as required by PACA. It is also clear from the same Affidavit and the Certification of Counsel that said Defendant is in severe financial jeopardy and the PACA trust assets are being dissipated or threatened with dissipation (Frio Ice, S.A. v. Sunfruit, Inc., 918 F.2d 154 (11th Cir. 1990)) and that said Defendant is not or may not be in a position to pay the claims of Fortune Growers, LLC (Tanimura & Antle, Inc. v. Packed Fresh Produce, Inc., 222 F.3d 132, 141 (3d Cir. 2000)), thereby warranting the relief requested by Plaintiff. On the basis of the pleadings, Affidavit and other submissions Plaintiff has filed in this matter, it appears Plaintiff will suffer immediate and irreparable injury due to Southern Citrus' dissipation of Plaintiff's beneficial interest in the statutory trust created

26

27

pursuant to 7 U.S.C. §499e(c) and that such dissipation will continue in the absence of injunctive relief. Therefore, the Court is of the opinion that a Temporary Restraining Order should be issued.

If notice is given to Defendant of the pendency of this motion, trust assets will be further threatened with dissipation before the motion is heard. As noted in the legislative history of PACA, once dissipation has occurred, recovery of trust assets is all but impossible. H.R. Rep. No. 543, 98th Cong., 2d Sess. 4 (1983), reprinted in 1984 U.S. Code & Admin. News 405, 411. J.R. Brooks & Son, Inc. v. Norman's Country Market, Inc., 98 B.R. 47 (Bkrtcy. N.D.Fla. 1989). Entry of this Order without notice assures retention of the trust assets under the control of this Court, which is specifically vested with jurisdiction over the trust. 7 U.S.C. §499e(c)(5). In accord with Rule 65(b)(2), Plaintiff's attorney has certified why notice should not be required.

Based on the foregoing, this Court finds that Plaintiff will suffer immediate irreparable injury in the form of a loss of trust assets unless this Order is granted without notice.

Therefore, it is by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, **ORDERED**:

1. Defendant, Southern Citrus, its agents, officers, subsidiaries, assigns, banking and financial institutions, and all persons in active concert or

participation with said Defendant, including Hana Gibo and Sabah Youkhanna, are enjoined and restrained from dissipating, paying, transferring, assigning or selling any and all assets covered by or subject to the trust provisions of the PACA without agreement of Plaintiff, or until further order of this Court.

- 2. Under §499e(c)(2) of PACA, the assets subject to this Order include all of the assets of Southern Citrus, unless Southern Citrus can prove to this Court that a particular asset is not derived from perishable agricultural commodities, inventories of food or other products derived from perishable agricultural commodities or receivables or proceeds from the sale of such commodities or products. Provided, however, Southern Citrus may sell perishable agricultural commodities or products derived from perishable agricultural commodities for fair compensation, without right of set-off, on the condition that Southern Citrus maintains the proceeds of such sale subject to this Order.
- 3. This Order shall be binding upon the parties to this action and all other persons or entities who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise.
- 4. The \$115,266.40 in PACA trust assets belonging to Plaintiff and in the possession of Southern Citrus will serve as Plaintiff's security for this injunction as required by Rule 65(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.